

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,027	01/03/2001		Kathryn D. Coffman	243768037US	2032	
25096	7590	05/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP				CHENCINSKI,	CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
PATENT-SI	EA					
P.O. BOX 1247				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				3628		

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) COFFMAN ET AL. 09/754,027 Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit 3628 Siegfried E. Chencinski -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 14 October 2005. (a) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_ (b) A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-(c) A reply was received on final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ . The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ . (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: See Continuation Sheet FRANTZY POINVIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

المعارض المعاممين

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Ms. Kerry Gibson of the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP in Seattle, WA told the examiner on April 21, 2006 that power of attorney for this patent application was transferred to another law firm in 2004, and that the October, 2005 Offfice Action was received and forwarded to that law firm. Ms. Christine Hurdle told the examiner that this application has been abandoned and that they will forward the Notice of Abandonment to the law firm currently holding power of attorney for this application.